

A Lutheran Catechism On Abortion And Life

by David A. Kaufmann, Ph.D. F.A.C.S.M.

FOREWORD

Amidst all the confusion that evolutionary humanists have successfully introduced into the Christian Church regarding the beginning of personhood in the human embryo, this treatise brings a much needed clarification that cannot be refuted. No one can dispute the facts that Dr. Kaufmann documents in it, both theologically and scientifically, that a human being is a living person...at the moment of conception. I particularly liked the way he summed up these proofs in Part IV, Question 8: "Yes, both Scripture and science agree that the baby at conception is a human being with potential a potential human being." Therefore, all induced abortions, though legal, are immoral, premeditated murder except for those extremely rare physical conditions when one is necessary, as a matter of self-defense, to prevent the death of the mother (and baby, if possible).

As a Presbyterian Elder, I have but one regret: Dr. Kaufmann's well-reasoned catechism should not be limited to Lutherans. It applies to and should be widely distributed throughout the entire Church of Jesus Christ, our Creator, Saviour, Sustainer, Redeemer, and Lord.

Albert S. Anderson, M.D.,
President, Creation Health Foundation
19 Gallery Centre
Taylors, South Carolina
July 1, 1995

Lutherans For Life

PART I

The Lutheran Position On Doctrine And Practice

1. What is the Lutheran position on determining correct theology?

Answer: One of the chief principles of Lutheran theology is sola scriptura (Scripture alone). This Catechism states that the natural meanings of the statements in Scripture are the only source for correct doctrine and practice.

2. Where does the principle of Sola Scriptura come from?

Answer: *The Book of Concord: The Confessions of the Evangelical Lutheran Church.* This book of theological treatises was formulated by Lutheran theologians in 1580 to establish the correct teachings and faith of the Evangelical Lutheran Church. It contains eight treatises: *The Three Ancient Creeds*, *The Augsburg Confession* (1530), *Apology of the Augsburg Confession* (1531), *The Smalcald Articles* (1537), *Treatise on the Power and Primacy of the Pope* (1537), *The Small Catechism* (1529), *The Large Catechism* (1529) and the *Formula of Concord* (1577).

3. Where in the Book of Concord does it say that the statements in the Bible are the only rule and standard for correct doctrine and practice?

Answer: There are approximately 16 places in the *Book of Concord* that either state or infer that the statements in the Bible are the only rule and standard for correct doctrine and practice. Two of the most famous statements are : "the Word of God shall establish articles of faith" (Smalcald Articles, II, ii, 15) and the Bible "is the only judge, rule and norm according to which, as the only touchstone, all doctrines should and must be understood and judged as good or evil, right or wrong" (Formula of Concord, Ep. Rule and Norm, 7).

4. Should we also use human reason to determine correct doctrine and practice?

Answer: Human reason can help to understand the true meanings of Scriptural statements, but it must be used ministerially and not magisterially. The Introduction of the Concordia Self Study Bible explains it this way: "Although the ability to reason, which is also a gift from God, distinguishes human beings from animals, it is not regarded as the criterion by which questions of a religious nature are answered. Where paradoxes occur, a childlike faith must prevail over logical deductions. Scripture takes precedence over reason. This means that when, for example, God's Word teaches a triune Deity-Father, Son and Holy Spirit-we bow to Biblical revelation, even though such a teaching is beyond the understanding of our human mind. The same is true when Scriptures speak of the virgin birth of Jesus, of his twofold nature (God and man), of his resurrection and ascension, of the partaking of his body and blood in the Lord's Supper. All these teachings we believe, because they are stated in Scripture, although they are beyond our mortal comprehension" (p. xvii).

5. Did Martin Luther believe in the principle of Sola Scriptura?

Answer: A famous Methodist theologian named Philip Watson read the works of Martin Luther and wrote an exposition of his theology. He titled his book "Let God Be God." This is essentially what Martin Luther did; he adamantly demanded that all Christian theology be derived from the natural meanings of the translated words from the Hebrew and Greek manuscripts. He warns us: "if the teaching of men comes into the Church, then throw out every bit of it, and know that, as surely as God lives, all human doctrine is idolatry" (What Luther Says, 1201). Most people want to serve God. . . .but only in an advisory capacity. We serve God by following His Word.

 Lutherans For Life

PART II

WHAT IS ABORTION?

1. What is abortion?

Answer: The Latin root for abortion, *aborior*, means to perish by untimely death. Abortion is defined as an "Act of giving premature birth, specifically the expulsion of the human fetus prematurely, particularly at any time before it is viable or capable of sustaining life" (*Webster's New International Dictionary*, 2nd Ed., 1960).

2. What is a miscarriage?

Answer: A miscarriage is a natural and spontaneous abortion. Usually, the growing baby has died because of abnormalities within itself or its placenta (afterbirth). After this has occurred, the uterus (womb) automatically goes into labor and expels the dead baby and placenta.

3. Is a miscarriage dangerous?

Answer: Miscarriages are usually safe and natural. Sometimes there is excessive bleeding or incomplete expulsion from the uterus of the placenta requiring hospitalization during which the surgeon gently dilates the cervix and scrapes the decaying components of the placenta out of the uterus with a spoon-shaped instrument known as a curet. Infection is rare. The surgical procedure is called a therapeutic Dilatation and Curettage (D & C).

4. What is an induced abortion?

Answer: An induced abortion is an unnatural removal of the fetus from the uterus caused by various methods.

5. What are some examples of induced abortion?

Answer: Oral contraception, RU-486, IUD contraception, suction aspiration, dilatation and curettage, dilatation and evacuation, salt poisoning, prostaglandin injection, hysterectomy and partial-birth abortion.

6. What about oral contraception (birth control pills)?

Answer: Some physicians express concern about certain birth control pills. Of particular concern are the "high dose" pills which they believe may induce an abortion. If a woman has questions about hormonal contraceptives, she should visit with a doctor in whom she trusts.

7. What about the drug RU-486?

Answer: Planned Parenthood, along with other pro-abortionists, proclaim RU-486 to be the "safe and effective" abortion technique. But RU-486 bears careful scrutiny. A two-step procedure is involved which may drag out for as long as two weeks with multiple visits to the physician. With RU-486, it is the woman who becomes the abortionist as she takes the drugs, and it is her body that makes the abortion happen. Complications include bleeding, nausea, pain and sometimes incomplete abortions.

8. What is I.U.D. contraception?

Answer: I.U.D. contraception is the use of an Intra-Uterine Device (I.U.D.) to prevent pregnancy. The I.U.D. does not prevent conception. Therefore, it is not a contraceptive at all. Rather, it is an abortifacient. An abortifacient is any agent that causes an abortion. With few exceptions, almost all scientific papers agree that the effect of an I.U.D. is to prevent implantation of an already living embryo into the nutrient lining of the uterus. This clearly causes an abortion.

9. What is suction aspiration?

Answer: Suction aspiration abortion, sometimes called menstrual extraction abortion, is used in 95% of induced abortions. A powerful suction tube is inserted into the uterus through the

expanded cervix (neck). This painfully dismembers the body of the developing baby and tears the placenta from the uterus, sucking the parts into a container. Uterine hemorrhage and/or infection can easily occur if any fetal or placental tissue is left inside the uterus.

10. What is dilatation and curettage (D&C)?

Answer: In this technique the cervix is dilated or expanded to permit insertion of a loop-shaped steel knife in order to scrape the wall of the uterus. This painfully cuts the baby's body into pieces and cuts the placenta away from the uterine wall. Bleeding is sometimes excessive. This method is used primarily during the seventh to twelfth week of pregnancy and should not be confused with therapeutic D&C done with a spoon-shaped instrument for reasons other than undesired pregnancy, e.g., incomplete miscarriage as noted under Question 3 above.

11. What is dilatation and evacuation (D&E)?

Answer: This technique is used to remove a baby from the uterus who is as old as 18 weeks and is similar to the D&C method. The difference is that a forceps (big tweezers) is used to grasp part of the developing baby who already has calcified bones. The body parts must be painfully twisted and torn away, and the placenta separated from the uterine wall. Bleeding can be excessive.

12. What is salt poisoning?

Answer: Salt poisoning, sometimes called "saline amniocentesis" or "salting out," is used after 16 weeks of pregnancy when enough fluid has accumulated in the amniotic sac surrounding the baby. A needle is inserted through the mother's abdominal wall directly into the amniotic sac, and a solution of concentrated salt is injected into it. The baby breathes in, swallowing the salt solution and is thereby painfully poisoned. Shortly, the baby dies, and the mother usually goes into labor approximately a day later, delivering a dead and shriveled baby. This is the second most popular method of inducing abortion in the U.S., yet it is outlawed in Japan and other countries because of many inherent risks to the mother.

13. What is prostaglandin injection?

Answer: Prostaglandins are hormones that assist the birth process. Injecting concentrations of prostaglandins into the amniotic sac induces violent labor and premature birth of a baby usually too undeveloped to survive. This technique is usually used during the second half of the pregnancy. A self-administered prostaglandin suppository or tampon is being developed for first trimester abortions. Serious complications from prostaglandin injection are cardiac arrest and a ruptured uterus.

14. What is hysterectomy?

Answer: This technique is similar to a Cesarean section birth operation and is generally used if the salt poisoning or prostaglandin injections fail. The surgeon makes a cut through the mother's abdominal wall and the wall of her uterus. Then the baby is removed. Sometimes babies are born alive during this technique. This is known as the "dreaded complication." Questions arise as to how and when to kill the baby and by whom. Some babies who are cared for after the hysterectomy have been known to survive and were subsequently accepted by their natural mother or placed for adoption. This method presents the highest risk to the health of the mother with a mortality rate of double the risk from D&E.

15. What is a Partial-Birth Abortion (also known as a "D & X" abortion)?

Answer: In a partial-birth abortion, the abortionist turns the baby within the uterus and partially delivers him or her feet first--all but the head. A pair of curved blunt scissors are used to puncture the base of the skull. A tube is then inserted and the baby's brain is suctioned out. The baby dies, the head collapses and the "delivery" is completed. This procedure is becoming more and more common in late-term abortions.

16. What are the potential complications of an induced abortion?

Answer: The argument used by many proponents of induced abortion - that abortion is safer than childbirth - is difficult to defend in light of medical evidence to the contrary. The abortion Surveillance Branch of the Center for Disease Control in Atlanta asserts that induced abortion is safer than childbirth, that the serious complication rate for abortion is less than one percent, and that induced abortion is a good solution for contraceptive failure. However, the experience of private physicians and gynecologists do not support this claim because of massive underreporting of complications and deaths. Daniel J. Martin, M.D., a Clinical Instructor at St. Louis University Medical School, stated in a research paper (April, 1983), "The impact of abortion on the body of a woman who chooses abortion is great and always negative. I can think of no beneficial effect of a social abortion on a body." Medical researchers have identified a pattern of psychological problems by women who have had abortions known as Post Abortion Syndrome (PAS) which has been recognized as a type of "post traumatic stress disorder." Most women have induced abortions without a significant physical injury, but a significant number do sustain physical damage, and a few even die. Many women end up with serious emotional and guilt-related after effects. Of course, an induced abortion is never safe for the unborn baby because the child always dies.

 Lutherans For Life

PART III

WHEN DOES HUMAN LIFE BEGIN? WHAT DOES THE BIBLE SAY?

1. When does the Bible say that human life begins?

Answer: The Bible clearly views humans as persons from conception onward. The noted theologian and attorney, Dr. John Warwick Montgomery, cites Psalm 51:5, "Behold I was shapen in iniquity and in sin did my mother conceive me," as solid proof that David considered himself as a "me," not an it, even at conception. He concluded, "For the biblical writers, personhood in the most genuine sense begins no later than conception; subsequent human acts illustrate this personhood, they do not create it" (*Christianity Today*, June 5, 1970). Again the Psalmist stated, "For thou didst form my inward parts (reins - site of innermost feelings, i.e., heart or spirit - Cf. Psalms 16:7). "Thou didst cover me in my mother's womb" (Psalm 139:13). J.R. Dummelow said that, within this context, David is alluding to the "mysterious origin of a human personality in the womb" (*One Volume Bible Commentary*, p. 376). And certainly the Holy Spirit considered Jeremiah more than a "blob of flesh" when he said: "Before I formed you in the womb of your mother, I knew you. Before you were born I consecrated you; I appointed you a prophet to the nations" (Jeremiah 1:4,5).

2. Does the New Testament say anything about unborn babies?

Answer: The Greek word "brephos" is found several times in the *New Testament*. *Thayer's Greek-English Lexicon of the NT*. p. 105, defines "brephos" as "an unborn child, embryo, fetus...new born child, infant, babe." John the Baptist, as a brephos, leaped in his mother's womb (Luke 1:41). Baby Jesus, as a brephos, was laid in a manger (Luke 2:12,16). Now if one might justify destroying a brephos (fetus), as in the case of John the Baptist, why could not one with the same propriety destroy a brephos (babe), as in the case of baby Jesus? The same word "brephos" is used in both verbally inspired statements. According to the New Testament, the difference between the preborn baby (fetus) and new born baby is clearly a matter of time and different places of residence.

3. Who is the author and giver of life?

Answer: Humanist philosophy justifies abortion on demand on the grounds that man is the author and giver of life and therefore, if one desires, humans can terminate life at will. Several Bible passages clearly indicate that the only true God, the Triune God of the Bible, is the Author and Giver of life (Deuteronomy 32:18, Genesis 30:2,22, I Samuel 1:5, Psalms 71:6, Isaiah 44:2,24 and 49:5), and that how life begins remains a mystery to us (Ecclesiastes 11:5).

4. What about those Christian men who condone abortion and Christian women who have induced abortions?

Answer: Where willful sin or a mistake in judgment has been made, a Christian can continue to live and serve in full assurance of forgiveness. However, the availability of divine forgiveness suffers flagrant abuse whenever it is taken for granted and freely used as a basis for violating clear moral principles related to the sanctity of human life. However, flaunting God's will and abusing His grace are forgiven to those Christians who repent of such sins and accept the pardon offered from our loving Savior, Jesus Christ.

 Lutherans For Life

PART IV

WHEN DOES HUMAN LIFE BEGIN?

WHAT DOES SCIENCE SAY?

1. What determines if an organism is living or not?

Answer: Any organism that exhibits the seven traits of life: metabolism, excitability, conductivity, contractility, growth, differentiation and reproduction, is living.

2. What is the first sign of human life?

Answer: Dr. Bradley M. Patten, distinguished author of *Foundations of Embryology*, stated that every individual of the higher animals begins its life as a single cell, the fertilized ovum (egg) which is called a zygote. The zygote is formed by the fusion of one ovum from the female and one sperm from the male. Scientifically, this begins the life of a new individual. Embryology is the study of the growth and differentiation of an organism from conception to birth.

3. Does the growing embryo exhibit the seven signs of life?

Answer: Yes, the zygote and its following stages: morula, blastocyst, embryo and fetus, all exhibit the seven signs of life. To deliberately interrupt this chain of living, growing stages at any time in the individual's life is to cause that individual's death.

4. Is it true that scientists disagree about when life begins?

Answer: Yes, but the disagreement was not based on scientific facts. When, in 1981, the Executive Board of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) issued a statement opposing restrictions on abortions, it argued that no one can tell when human life begins. It focused on the "cost-effectiveness" of abortion in dealing with the social, economical and psychological problems in society. They reduced moral choices to economic choices. Since it is cheaper to procure an abortion than to love and rear a child, some in our society would rather kill their innocent, unborn babies than accept any economic responsibilities. Many members of the ACOG protested this new attitude based on "no one knows when life begins." Dr. Richard Jaynes, for example, stated in *Obstetrics and Gynecological News*: "To say that the beginning of human life cannot be determined scientifically...is utterly ridiculous."

5. Has Congress investigated when human life begins?

Answer: Yes, in 1981 the U.S. Senate Judiciary Subcommittee held hearings on the issue of when human life begins. Pro-abortionists, though encouraged to do so, failed to produce even a single expert witness who would specifically testify that life begins at any other point than conception or implantation. The great majority of experts testified that life begins at conception. One witness testified that no one can say when human life begins but offered no scientific facts. The following are quotes of the overwhelming majority of scientific experts (Shettles and Rorvik, *Rites of Life*, Zondervan, p. 114):

Dr. Watson Bowes, Jr., of the University of Colorado Medical School, stated that: "The beginning of a single human life is from a biological point of view a simple and straightforward matter the beginning is conception. This straightforward biological fact should not be distorted to serve sociological, political or economic goals."

Dr. Michiline Matthews-Roth, Research Associate of Harvard University Medical School, asserted: "It is incorrect to say that biological data cannot be decisive. . . It is scientifically correct to say that an individual human life begins at conception. . . Our laws, one function of which is to help preserve the lives of our people, should be based on accurate scientific data."

Dr. McCarthy De Mere, a practicing physician and a Law Professor at the University of Tennessee, stated: "The exact moment of the beginning (of) personhood and of the human body is at the moment of conception."

6. Do some argue that life begins at implantation?

Answer: Implantation is the process of the fertilized ovum attaching itself to the lining of the uterus several days after conception. Some argue that without implantation the new, living organism cannot be nourished and cannot survive. Implantation is an important embryological event, but it in no way defines life. It is a condition attained after a living organism is conceived and by which life is maintained once it has already started.

7. Do fetuses really function like adults?

Answer: The growth and development of an unborn baby, especially in the early months, is quite miraculous. Neonatal research has indicated that the heart begins beating as early as 18 days after conception, and by 40 days its energy output is about 20 percent of an adult's. At 43 days brain waves can be detected, and by eight weeks all the body organs are present and functioning. The rapidly developing unborn baby becomes extremely sensitive to sound, pressure, heat, light, and even pain.

8. Does science prove that life begins at conception--just as Scripture says?

Answer: Yes, both Scripture and science agree that the baby at conception is a human being with potential - not a potential human being. Birth is not the beginning of life. It is only a change of residence for an already living child of God.

 Lutherans For Life

PART V

SIMPLE ANSWERS TO COMPLEX QUESTIONS?

1. Are there any abortions that are God-pleasing and permissible?

Answer: The only time an abortion could be God-pleasing and permissible would be a therapeutic abortion - one to save the life of the mother. These are very rare in the U.S. - almost non-existent. If the mother's actual life were really threatened, a conscientious physician would first try to save both mother and baby. In the rare case where a decision must be made to save one or the other, the woman, and the husband should seek the advice of the attending physician and Lutheran pastor and hopefully arrive at the best God-pleasing decision.

2. Isn't abortion following a rape "the better of two evils?" Wouldn't God permit such an exception?

Answer: Every preborn baby is a child of God, no matter what the circumstances of his or her conception. Most importantly, the preborn has a soul which needs to be saved by the Gospel. Thus, the unborn child should be allowed to be born. It should be understood that pregnancy from rape is exceedingly rare. A study of 1,000 rape victims, who were medically observed right after the rape, reported no pregnancies (Kuthera, L. "Post Contraception with DES," *JAMA*, October 25, 1971). Consider, also, that it is twisted logic to kill an innocent, preborn baby for the sin of his or her father. We don't punish children for the crimes of their fathers. The rapist should be punished by the criminal justice system for the crime of rape. While recognizing the tremendous emotional turmoil for the mother associated with giving birth to a child conceived by rape, she should be encouraged, with the help of God, to love this child as should all practicing Christians. The woman who has been grievously wronged should not be encouraged to do violence to her child. Placing the child for adoption is always a loving option under such circumstances.

3. Isn't it OK to have an abortion in the case of incest?

Answer: Incest is intercourse by a father with his daughter or uncle with niece, etc. Fortunately, pregnancy is very rare. "In studies of incest victims, the vast majority choose to carry pregnancies to term. Those in the minority who have an abortion appear to do so only under pressure from their parents to conceal the incestuous relationship" (Linda Bartlett, *From Heartache to Healing*, Concordia Publishing House, p. 50). "For some incest victims, carrying their pregnancy to term is a way to break out of an incestuous relationship with their fathers, whom they may still love despite their confusion and resentment about the way they have been used as sexual objects. . . having the child not only exposes the incestuous relationship, but also gives hope of beginning a truly loving relationship." As in the case of rape, the mother should be supported in her struggles by Christian friends and encouraged to do what is most loving for herself and the baby. Again, the choice of adoption is a loving choice.

4. What about when the physician knows the baby will be born with a severe handicap? Isn't abortion in such a case the "compassionate" thing to do?

Answer: God permits conception to occur sometimes in the case of a child who will be born with a handicap (Acts 17:28). Because a handicap may serve as the stimulus which can bring out the love and devotion of a person's character, God does not give anyone the right to judge that this life should be terminated. God has created the child with a purpose and soul; neither his parents nor society has the right to end its life. The handicapped child should be loved just like all other children.

5. Is the fetus, at least in the early stages of pregnancy, part of the woman's body?

Answer: A woman's appendix, obviously an organ of her body, can be removed for necessary medical reasons. The cells of the appendix are somatic cells and carry the identical genetic code that is present in every other somatic cell in the mother's body. They are, for this reason, undeniably part of her body. The zygote is the result of the union of two germ cells (sperm and ovum) and its various stages of development have a genetic code that is totally different from the somatic cells of the mother's body. The growing, unborn baby in her uterus is a completely separate human being and can never be considered anatomically or physiologically a part of the woman's body. Does a woman have a right to parts of her body? Yes, but the unborn baby in her uterus is not part of her body. It is another person's body.

6. Should viability be the standard to determine if a fetus is a human or not?

Answer: This is the argument from secular humanism. The frightening aspect of using viability as a criterion of someone's right to life is quickly apparent when we consider that, by this standard, a newborn baby or child of any age with a handicap is also "non viable." By the above standard, the senile old person rendered incompetent by a stroke, the completely psychotic person, or even the paraplegic war veteran is not "viable," since they are not capable of independent existence. Some of these people do not have mental "viability." To make a judgment on the unborn baby's right to live or not in our society by virtue of its mental or physical competence, rather than merely by the fact that biologically and theologically it is human and alive, is a cruel and capricious way for the state to decide who is worthy to live or not.

7. Is there a relationship between slavery and abortion?

Answer: Yes.

| Slavery | Abortion |
|---|---|
| Dred Scott 1857 | Roe v. Wade 1973 |
| 7-2 Decision | 7-2 Decision |
| Black = Non Person | Unborn Baby = Non Person |
| Property of Owner | Property of Owner (Mother) |
| Can Choose to Buy, Sell or Kill | Can Choose to Keep or Kill |
| Abolitionists Should Not Impose Morality on Slave Owner | Pro-Lifers Should Not Impose Morality on Mother |
| Slavery Is Legal | Abortion Is Legal |

(Source: Wilkie, J.C., *Abortion: Questions and Answers*, Cincinnati, Ohio: Hayes Publishing Co., p. 18)

8. What about the right of a woman to the privacy of her own body?

Answer: *Roe v. Wade* is based on the fallacious reasoning of right of privacy. This so called right is not in the Constitution; it is read into it by secular humanistic reasoning. If you as a citizen, stand outside a door and see and hear a mother battering her child, even to the point of killing him/her, what would you do? Would you respect the privacy of her home? A moral person would call the police or if necessary break down the door and rescue the child. A physician or anyone trained in first aid should cut away a person's clothing if that is necessary to save the life of the injured person. Respect for privacy must always yield to the right of life of our neighbor. No civilized government can permit the claim of privacy to be used as reason for killing innocent, unborn babies. We may insist on the freedom from unreasonable search and seizure (the Fourth Amendment of the Constitution), but we cannot in good conscience tolerate the killing of unborn babies under the pretense of respecting the mother's privacy.

9. Will aborting unwanted babies leave more wanted babies and therefore decrease child abuse?

Answer: Exactly the opposite has happened. In New York City, during the 1960's, the number of abused children averaged about 5,000 cases per year. Abortion was legalized there in 1970. By 1975, over 25,000 cases were reported. Ontario, Canada, statistics show the same trend.

| Year | Abortions | Child Abuse |
|------|-----------|-------------|
| 1971 | 16,172 | 422 |
| 1975 | 25,921 | 769 |
| 1978 | 38,782 | 1762 |

(Source: Child Welfare Branch, Ministry of Human Resources, Ontario, Canada, 1980)

10. Should Lutherans picket abortion clinics and harm and even kill abortionists?

Answer: The violence of abortion will not be overcome by further violence. Christians know that evil can be overcome only by good. The true battleground on abortion is not in Washington, D.C., or in front of abortion clinics, but in every human heart. We urge all

Lutherans to seek peaceful, legal change by appealing to the consciences of their family members, church members, friends, and government representatives.

11. What is the position of the American Medical Association?

Answer: The AMA position maintains that if killing an unborn baby is legal, then it is also ethical and permissible.

12. Does making something legal also make it morally right?

Answer: In Nazi Germany a physician could perform genocide with legal sanction. In the U.S., he would have been declared a murderer. Since 1973 in the U.S., a physician can perform abortions with legal sanction. Now in Germany, abortion is illegal, and a German physician who performs an abortion is classified as a murderer and prosecuted. The humanist philosophy that reigns in the U.S. has changed our practice to one that approaches Nazi Germany's immorality.

13. Does the Hippocratic Oath state that a physician should never perform an abortion?

Answer: Yes, it states: "I will give no deadly medicine to anyone if asked, nor suggest such counsel, and in a like manner, I will not give to a woman a pessary to produce an abortion." Some medical schools no longer recite the oath at graduation or remove the abortion part and replace it with "I will do nothing that is illegal."

14. Why does a physician perform an abortion?

Answer: Primarily, for money. If a federal law was passed tomorrow stating that no medical person could receive any money for participating in an abortion, hardly any physicians would perform them.

15. May a Lutheran physician or medical person perform an abortion?

Answer: Since an abortion ends a human life, abortion is not a moral option for a Lutheran physician or medical person, except in the tragically unavoidable situation necessary to prevent the death of another human being, i.e., the mother.

16. Should a Lutheran woman who becomes pregnant outside of marriage consider having an abortion?

Answer: She should immediately seek the counsel of a Lutheran pastor. She, along with the father, should read this *Catechism on Abortion and Life*. They both, should repent of their sinful actions and receive through faith the full forgiveness offered to them by the redemptive work of their Savior, Jesus Christ. A God-pleasing solution would be for them to marry, give birth to the baby and, as loving parents, bring the child up in the Christian faith. If marriage is not an option, the father and mother should carefully consider an adoption plan and place the child in the home of a Christian couple.

17. Is there hope for the Lutheran woman who has already made the choice of abortion?

Answer: *Yes!* The ministry of the church involves far more than just being against sin or being "anti-abortion." The church is "pro-life" in the fullest sense. God's love is not just for children, but also for mothers and fathers. However, softening the seriousness of sin only devalues the

magnitude of God's forgiveness, bought and paid for by the sacrificial life and death of Jesus Christ. The church can help a post-abortive woman lay her burden at the foot of the Cross. It is the sacrifice of Jesus, the only begotten Son of God, that brings forgiveness for every sin. Because of Christ's sacrifice, sin cannot defeat us. As the Psalmist reminds us (32:3-5), "Then I acknowledged my sin to You and did not cover up my iniquity. I said, 'I will confess my transgressions to the Lord,' and You forgave the guilt of my sin."

18. Can the Lutheran position on abortion be summarized?

Answer: When it comes to the issue of abortion, Lutherans should remember four Scriptural principles:

1. Human life, at every stage of its development, is valued by the Creator, Savior, and Comforter.
2. Human lives are entrusted by God to our care.
3. There are limits to human freedom.
4. Moved by our faith in the Creator, Savior, and Comforter, Lutherans must be a people glad to receive all children into our human family.

19. As we are motivated by God's love and empowered by the Holy Spirit, how can we support the Biblical, Lutheran position on abortion and life?

Answer: You can join and participate in the programs of Lutherans for Life, a nationwide organization with state affiliates, local chapters and Life Ministry Coordinators who seek to change hearts through prayers, education, and service. For further information or printed copies of this material (\$.50 each plus shipping and handling) please contact Lutherans For Life.

 Lutherans For Life

© Copyright 1996
by David A. Kaufmann
3745 NW 7th Ave.
Gainesville, FL 32607

All rights reserved. No part of this booklet may be reproduced in any form whatsoever, by photograph or mimeograph or by any other means, by broadcast or transmission, by translation into any kind of language, nor by recording electronically or otherwise, without permission in writing from the author, except by a reviewer, who may quote brief passages in critical articles and reviews.

The author has accepted no royalties or remuneration in any form in publishing this booklet.

The publication of this booklet was made possible by a generous donation from the Robert and Mary Cade Foundation

 Lutherans For Life



 Lutherans For Life